

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
THE AVON COMPANY f/k/a NEW AVON LLC :
and LG H&H CO., LTD f/k/a LG HOUSEHOLD & :
HEALTHCARE, LTD., :
:

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

FAREVA MORTON GROVE, INC. and FAREVA :
S.A., :

Defendants. :

ORDER

22 Civ. 4724 (AKH)

----- X
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:


Fareva's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 340) is denied. "A motion for reconsideration is not a motion to reargue those issues already considered when a party does not like the way the original motion was resolved." *See Lowinger v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (In re Facebook, Inc., IPO Sec. & Derivative Litig., 43 F. Supp. 3d 369, 374 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).* Moreover, "the standard for granting a motion for reconsideration is strict, and reconsideration will generally be denied unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked." *Analytical Surveys, Inc. v. Tonga Partners, LP, 684 F.3d 36, 41 (2d Cir. 2012).* Fareva has failed to meet these strictures. Instead, it seeks to reargue issues raised—and rejected—in its original brief in support of its motion for summary judgment because it wishes for a different outcome as to the status of Section 13 damages. As such, Fareva has failed to meet its burden, and the motion is denied.

The Clerk of Court shall terminate ECF No. 340

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

May 15, 2024
New York, New York



ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN
United States District Judge